IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

TUESDAY, THE 25TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 3RD ASWINA 1929

WP(C).No. 15128 of 2007(A)

PETITIONER:

REJOY,
CHEERAN HOUSE, NEDUPUZHA, THRISSUR.

BY ADV. SRI.I.DINESH MENON

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE STATE TRANSPORT APPELLATE TRIBUNAL,
ERNAKULAM.

2. THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
THRISSUR.

3. THE SECRETARY,
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY, THRISSUR.

BY G.P.SRI.BENJAMIN PAUL

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 25/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WPC.NO.15128/2007

APPENDIX

PETITIONER's EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1 COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS DTD 16.8.2006.

EXT.P2 COPY OF THE NOTIFICATION DTD 9.5.2006.

EXT.P3 COPY OF THE APPEAL MEMORANDUM DTD 16.10.2006.

EXT.P4 COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN MVAA.NO.586/2006 DTD 5.1.2007.

/TRUE COPY

cl

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.

W.P.(C).NO.15128 OF 2007-A

Dated this the 25th day of September, 2007.

JUDGMENT

By Ext.P1, the application for temporary permit submitted by the petitioner was rejected and that was confirmed by the Tribunal in Ext.P4. Now what is sought for by the petitioner is that fresh application, if made, should be considered dehors Exts.P1 and P4. The learned Government Pleader points out that the route is a notified route and that the request made by the petitioner cannot be considered.

If that be so, no useful purpose will be served by issuing any direction. The writ petition is, therefore, fails and is dismissed.

ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.

cl